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Reviewer's report:

GENERAL COMMENTS:

I have read with interest the article submitted by the authors.

TITLE:

Please make title more attractive without giving out the diagnosis to make the readers think

ABSTRACT:

Please change "cavum pericardii" to pericardium as is commonly used

What are chylous disorders - please be more specific

MANUSCRIPT / CASE PRESENTATION

Para 1 Line 59 - what did the HRCT show? Please describe findings instead of saying did not suggest LAM - that can be included in discussion

Para 2 Line 12-13 - What do the authors mean by diffuse exudation?

Para 2 line 48 - how does one use Ultrasound to diagnose thoracic duct obstruction? If authors have any data about the same that needs to be mentioned - otherwise I am not aware of how this could be used

Why was both MRI angiogram and lymphangiogram both done? The lymphangiogram should have given all the answers so authors need to justify why MRI was done

Please give details of what surgery was performed please
Please explain how chyloptysis can be differentiated from plastic bronchitis

Discussion needs to be expanded more

What is the mechanistic difference of chyloptysis in LAM / lmyphamgiomatosis etc as compared to direct mechanical obstruction

Why do the authors think the patient did not have a chylothorax

TABLES:
Add a table on causes of chyloptysis with mechanisms of action

FIGURES
Figures are good, add bronchoscopic images

REFERENCES
Consider reviewing the following references to see you can expand on chyloptysis and plastic bronchitis:


Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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