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Name: Iván Chérrez Ojeda
We thank the reviewers for their valuable and constructive criticism. In response to the referees’ comments and suggestions, we have thoroughly revised the manuscript. As a result, the paper - we believe - now stands much improved. We hope that the reviewers share this view, and we would like to thank them again for their important and helpful feedback.

The manuscript has been revised following your editorial comments, the referee comments and conforming to the journal Style. A point-by-point response to the editorial and reviewers’ comments is provided below. Also, reviewer’s comments are indicated in the manuscript. We hope that our revised manuscript will now be acceptable for publication in BMC Pulmonary Medicine.

Sincerely,

Ivan Cherrez MD MSc
Point-by-point response to the reviewers’ comments and suggestions

Editor comments:

We have appreciated your effort in answering to reviewers comments and improving your manuscript. Please note that, before the final approval, minor changes have to be done. In detail, I would kindly ask to reformat the tables, including the number of pulmonologist (n=91) and non pulmonologist (n= 251), respectively, under the text YES and NO, instead of leaving (n=91) beside the text pulmonologist.

We really appreciate your comments. In this current revised version of the manuscript, it could be found the suggested corrections in all the tables.