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Social Determinants of Psychological Wellbeing for Children and Adolescents in Rural NSW (PUBH-D-19-02630)

Thank you for the opportunity to review this interesting and useful paper. I recommend major changes of the manuscript which are as follows:

1. Please write in full acronyms (ARMHS).

2. In inclusion criteria, author mention "All invited participants were over 18 years", how about children, how include in this study.

3. How sample size of this study was calculated

4. What is the sampling method of this study, need to more explain, how choose 60 local government area in NSW, how randomly selected from Australian Electoral Roll. For reader which is not familiar with Australian situation need more explain to clarify it?

5. Is validity of SDQ check for this study, if yes, how check it, if no why not check?

6. Please more explain about family SES, how measure and interpret the result which obtain from family SES?

7. In discussion, generally it's acceptable, only need more study done in Australia and neighbourhood country for comparison.

I hope that this information is useful to the editors and authors. Finally, to draw a conclusion, I believe the authors should do the above major corrections before you publish it.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
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