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1. Keywords

In your Abstract, please also include a keywords section, which should three to ten keywords. This should follow the Conclusions section.

We added keywords after the abstract and conclusions sections.

2. Overlap

We note that there is some/significant textual overlap between this submission and other previous publications, in particular:


This overlap mainly exists in the Methods.

While we understand that this is work that you have previously published, and some of the same ideas are contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work.
Additionally, if this study uses methodology from a previously published work, please provide a summarizing statement in the methodology together with a citation to the original paper.

Authors should be aware that replication of text from their own previous publications is text recycling (also referred to as self-plagiarism), and in some cases is considered unacceptable. Where overlap of text with authors’ own previous publications is necessary or unavoidable, duplication must always be reported transparently and be properly attributed and compliant with copyright requirements. In collaboration with COPE, BMC has created guidelines for Editors on how to deal with text recycling which provide further detailed information on when text recycling is or is not considered acceptable. If a manuscript contains text that has been published elsewhere, authors should notify the Editor of this on submission.

We rewrote the methods section to avoid overlap with our earlier publication.

Additionally:


This overlap mainly exists in the Background section.

While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work.

Please re-phrase these sections to minimise overlap.

We rewrote the methods section to avoid overlap.

3. Reference no. 12
We note that your twelfth reference, ‘Cramm et al. 2012.’ appears to be missing some information. Please provide the full reference.

I provided the full references.

4. Abbreviations

Please provide a list of all the abbreviations used in the manuscript. This list should be placed just before the Declarations section. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.

We added the Abbreviations section.

5. Ethics approval and consent to participate

Please provide the full name of the CCMO.

We added the full name of the CCMO

Please specify the form of consent to participate. If verbal, please justify the use of verbal consent, and how consent was documented.

We clarified that by filling in the questionnaires and sending it back to us though mail or agreeing to be interviewed face-to-face consent was implied.

6. Authors’ contributions

We note that the author initials are missing from this section. Each individual author must be attributed for their contributions to the study.

We added the initials.

7. Clean manuscript
We uploaded a clean manuscript.

8. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.