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Reviewer's report:

General Comment: The manuscript has been strengthened by the authors careful attention and the revisions made.

Conclusions:

In this revision, the authors have made changes that bring their conclusion in line with the evidence provided in the study.

As a discretionary consideration, I would recommend caution with respect to the statement (page 16 line 20) that: "as a result decreased asthma triggers in their household."

While it is surely plausible that asthma triggers were decreased in the home, I do not know that measurements were made that would allow attribution of intervention benefits to specific program components, whether asthma triggers, medication compliance, etc.

Writing:

The corrections that have been made have improved the written quality of the manuscript. However, there are minor edits that I would recommend before publication. One example is line 2, page 2: "Childhood asthma is a significant health issue with prevalence 8.3% of in USA".

This sentence appears in corrected form as the first sentence of the Background, line 2 page 4.

There are corrections of a similar nature in the balance of the document. While they are few and minor, it would strengthen the manuscript to address them before publication.

As a discretionary consideration, the readability of table 2 could be improved, perhaps by increasing spacing between rows.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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