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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

As suggested, I have added the information required and included a clean version of the revised manuscript for your review.

Below are the comments and the answer to each one.

Editor Comments:

1. Please clarify whether any administrative permissions were required to access the data from the Governmental source. If so please state who granted permission in the Ethics approval and consent to participate section.

   A statement regarding this comment has been added in both the Ethics approval section and the consent to participate section.

2. Thank you for uploading your response and manuscript with tracked changes. As these files are no longer required at this stage of the editorial process, please remove them from your submission.

   The revised manuscript with track changes and the response has not been carried over to the new submission, only the clean version of the reviewed manuscript and the survey used in the study.
3. Please upload your revised manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. Please also ensure that all figures/tables and supplementary files are cited within the text. Any items which are not cited may be deleted by our production department upon publication.

This was ensured.

Best regards.