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Reviewer's report:

Thank you to the authors for their clear response. However, I have two minor comments.

A) Previous comment: Specify if a consecutive sampling was used.

Authors: We have specified in the methods that a convenience sample was used. See Data Collection section on page 5.

New comment: There is a difference between SAMPLING and SAMPLE. Sampling describes how the participants were recruited and sample states how many were recruited. Although it is important to report that you used convenience sample, authors should also state how they recruited the participants. Sampling method can include and mix: stratified sampling, random sampling, consecutive sampling…

B) "few individuals approached declined to participate". The exact number should be given.

Authors: We have added details into the methods to specify that less than 10% of those approached agreed to participate. See page 6.

New comment: "Less than 10%" is not an exact information. Authors should report the exact number and then add the proportion. For example, we approached XXX individuals, among which X (X.X%) declined to participate.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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