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Reviewer's report:

The paper is well written and provides good insights towards multisector approach. It will be useful to delineate multisector and multistakeholder. An inclusion of multistakeholder discussion could show the depth of the issues in the paper. This could be differentiated from multisector discussion. Note that multistakeholder approach is the emerging and increasingly used concept denoting state, civil society, academia and private sector.

Can the authors explore developing frequency tabulations to summarise Table 2 (which can go to Appendix). The frequency would be on P, I, M, D, CB, R. The other frequency table can also be used to aggregate the content from workshop into main issues.

The authors do well to refer to statements made by participants. On the other hand, it is also surprising that there are no overlap among participants comments. There are no instances where participants stated similar issues. Does this imply complete divergence of views? It will be good if this paper can synthesise issues of convergence or divergence among stakeholders if not participants.

The authors emphasis of "evidence-based practice and practice-based research" is good. I wish this could be emphasised more and explored a bit further. It can be a game changer in development.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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