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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Overall the manuscript is poorly written. The present English language quality is not enough good and needs to be improved. Reference is not support, so Author has better to use reference management software. This manuscript needs substantial revision.

Abstract Section:

Q1. Author has better to mention few sentences which support title and objective.

Q2. What are the study method, data collection techniques and tools?

Q3. What do you mean larger associations for men than for women?

Q4. How is your study result showing association between early childhood height and adult educational (0.269, 95% CI: [-0.68 - 1.22])?

Q4. How is your findings supported conclusion?

Q5. Why did you registered in clinical trial registry, Justify?

Key words:

You should write alphabetical order.
Introduction Section

Q1. References are manually done but you have better to use software?
Q2. Background should write in sequences (Broad to narrow)

Methodology Section

Q1. We don't find study design and setting
Q2. Is your study required data collection technique/tools or not, justify?
Q3. Make clear about sampling frame?
Q4. How did you maintain quality, ethical issue regarding your study, clarify?

Result Section

Q1. It's unclear; you had better to provide country wise.
Q2. Result should be clearly mentioned about association with CI Value.

Discussion Section

Q1. You have mentioned in objective association but in discussion relationship (Correlation), Please justify.
Q2. Correlate with finding
Q3. Limitation of study should be mentioned in this section?

Conclusion Section

Q1. Limitation should mention in discussion section
Q2. Add a few sentences about recommendation based on conclusion.

Overall
Q1. Language is not good, so you should revisit.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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