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General Comments: The authors have largely addressed most of the issues that were requested from the first review. The English Language has tremendously improved to allow for publication of the manuscript.

However there are still a few comments that need to be addressed:

1. Abstract, Methods, Page 1: The statement "Respondents were interviewed by doctors". The authors need to clarify if these doctors were part of the study team or study assistants/data collectors.

2. Section 2.2 Questionnaire and Data Collection - It would have been proper for the authors to give summary of the main issues in the questions indicated in this section. If the reader needs details he/she may then look it up in the questionnaire.

3. In the same section (2.2) last paragraph, the authors indicate that the pilot was done in 65/625 households. It is not clear if the piloted households were included among the 625 households that were surveyed. One would imagine that pilot studies inform the final tools and are not necessarily part of the final survey.

4. Page 19, Second last paragraph: ".....in our study attention declined after 75 years of age owing to decreased visual and cognitive impairment" I am not sure if the study actually determined that decreased visual and cognitive impairment were responsible for lack of "attention" for them to make such a statement.
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