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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed most of the comments made by the reviewers. A couple of comments have not been addressed:

Reviewer 1. It would be helpful with regard to reporting of qualitative data to give an indication of how many of the persons interviewed supported various findings that are presented. It is possible that there are good reasons for this but with 44 interviewees it should have been possible.

Reviewer 2. Comment on donor influence - "... over-dependence on donor countries (and again in the conclusion). This is an important point that is relevant to policy in other countries. You do not explain what is meant by over dependence - what is the role of the donor countries and how does it affect national alcohol policy? " It is interesting to see in the table of interviewees that no one was interviewed relevant to donors. This warrants some comment - perhaps in the limitations section.

The English is much better but still needs a final edit - can possibly be managed by the copyeditor if the paper is accepted.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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