Author’s response to reviews

Title: Analysis of Alcohol Policy in Nigeria: Multi-sectoral action and the integration of the WHO “Best-buy” interventions

Authors:
Opeyemi Abiona (bopeyemi@ymail.com)
Mojisola Oluwasanu (ope3m@yahoo.com)
Oladimeji Oladepo (oladepod@yahoo.com)

Version: 1 Date: 01 Jun 2019

Author’s response to reviews:
Dear BMC Public Health Editorial Board,

We have provided a point-by-point response to the comments provided by the reviewers.

This overlap mainly exists in the Methods, Findings and Discussion. While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, and that some of this is work you have previously published, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work.

Please rephrase these sections to minimize overlap.
Response: This has been addressed in the Methods, Findings and Discussion sections.

Please amend your “Consent for publication” section in your Declarations. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section.
Response: This has been addressed at the declaration section.

4. In your “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section of your Declarations please clearly state whether the consent obtained from the participants was written or verbal. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.
Response: This has been addressed in the Ethical Consideration section Page 10, line 8 and also in the declaration section.

Written consent was obtained from all participants’

Reviewer 1. It would be helpful with regard to reporting of qualitative data to give an indication of how many of the persons interviewed supported various findings that are presented. It is possible that there are good reasons for this but with 44 interviewees it should have been possible.

Response: This has been addressed under findings section.. Page 10, line 16; Page 15, line 20 and Page 16, line 8

Reviewer 2. Comment on donor influence - "...over-dependence on donor countries (and again in the conclusion). This is an important point that is relevant to policy in other countries. You do not explain what is meant by over dependence - what is the role of the donor countries and how does it affect national alcohol policy?

Response: This has been addressed in the discussion section, last paragraph on page 20

"It is interesting to see in the table of interviewees that no one was interviewed relevant to donors. This warrants some comment - perhaps in the limitations section.

Response: This has been addressed under limitation section. Page 21, line 11