Reviewer’s report

Title: Women’s Freedom of Movement and Participation in Psychosocial Support Groups: Qualitative Study in Northern India

Version: 0 Date: 07 Nov 2018

Reviewer: Eugenia Oviedo-Joekes

Reviewer's report:

PUBH-D-18-03490

RE: Women's Freedom of Movement and Participation in Psychosocial Support Groups: Qualitative Study in Northern India

The authors have done a great work revising the paper. The selection of the participants quotes work well with the described themes and are descriptive. The findings have been meaningfully integrated in the discussion section. The paper has improved notably, specially the discussion.

To the discretion of the authors, the limitation section could be improved to explore, in a critical appraisal, how not being able to interview women that could not attend due to mobility barriers, diminishes the validity of your research. Just mentioning the limitation diminishes power of your research because it leaves the reader wondering how all this affected the interpretation of your work. You could just answer the question yourselves: to what extent these limitations matter to the main conclusions of the paper?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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