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Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

This manuscript provides precious evidence about the awareness and attitudes towards HPV vaccines among the primary target population in the less developed regions of China. Also, for the first time it evaluated a possible school-based health education on increasing the awareness at different time intervals. This manuscript delivers important information on how to increase the awareness and equity in the less developed regions of China to ensure an effective vaccination coverage in the future. However, there are few comments for the authors to consider:

1. Background: the authors mention the bivalent and quadrivalent vaccines been licensed in mainland China, and very recently, the Nine-valent HPV vaccine has also been approved by China CFDA through a fast track. The authors should also add in this information in the background.

2. Results: Table 2. At baseline the control group was more likely to have heard of HPV vaccines than the intervention group, this scenario should be explained in the discussion.

3. The authors mentioned that the intervention was PPT based, if they can provide the original PPT as a supplementary material.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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