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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for asking me to review the revised version of this paper. The authors have responded well to reviewer comments. I am satisfied with the responses to the issues raised although I have the following points to raise:

1) Methods, pg5: I still feel uncomfortable with the mention of two private companies in the text (Blue Dog Productions and Wordbird) and think that they should be confined to links in the references.

2) The specific inclusion of details about NHS Health Checks, discussion of differences between raised BP in previously diagnosed and undiagnosed hypertensives, wide/normal cuff sizes, ethnicity, recognition that the Unhealthy Retail Outlet Score might only be a surrogate measure, have all helped to improve the paper substantially.

3) In public health terms, perhaps this paper is a pointer to where detection of elevated BP is likely to yield higher rates, and thus where to invest resources.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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