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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

I commend the authors for a great job. I have very few comments to make.

1. How was the screening test (OSOM BVblue rapid test) performed? what is the nature of the specimen tested..?blood? serum? urine? saliva? Vaginal discharge? Under what circumstances/environment was it collected? I presume that this information has to appear in the methodology.

2. Unless SW is not as stigmatizing as it is in my culture I think its worth to add a few sentences on ethical issues like confidentiality and the comfortability zone of conducting such research i.e where was it conducted.

3. Page 5; the methods section; last sentence on study sample feels ectopic and it repeats itself in the ethical clearance section.

4. The study setting is not described in the methodology section. Its good to know what is so interesting in this boarder that attracted your attention to do research on this area.

5. Who exactly prescribed metronidazole ? MD ?pharmacist ? research nurse ??? What was the dose? Was there any precaution/health education on the disease as to how to prevent, signs and symptoms of BV, its complications, drug reactions, resistance to treatment, risk of contracting other STIs including HIV and where to get help in case of anything?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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