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Reviewer’s report:

If ANOVA was the only general linear model used, it would simpler to say "ANOVA" in the abstract to avoid confusing the reader.

Table 3 should provide additional summary information, such as interquartile range, minimum, maximum, and mean scores. The medians alone provide limited information. This is important for readers to interpret the statement "Hence, these results did not support H2" (page 10, line 213), given that the differences were close to statistical significance for 10-year likelihood (p=0.06) and that non-parametric tests often have less power than parametric tests.

"quitted" should be "quit" (3 instances, including Tables 2 and 4)

The extremely low statistical power of Study 2 should be discussed as a limitation in the text itself, not relegated to an endnote.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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