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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Thank you for providing an Authors’ Contributions declaration. However, please list each individual author and their contributions in the Authors’ Contributions section (e.g. Authors AB, CD, EF and GH participated in data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation). Please ensure that all authors qualify according to the ICMJE guidelines; to qualify as an author one should have:

   a) made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; AND

   b) been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
c) given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content; AND

d) agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

The authors' contribution declaration has been changed and is found at the end of the article as follows:

ACR, TVJ, ALLS, MRGP and PCBVJ made substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data. LGS, NBP, YCLA and SGS made substantial contributions to acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data. HGM and WKSBS made substantial contributions to interpretation of data. All authors were involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

2. Please remove the figure legend embedded within the figure. All figure titles/legends should be placed at the end of the main manuscript, after the References, and not within any of the figure files.

Accepted suggestion.

3. Please rename your abstract headings the following: Objective needs to be 'Background', Design and Methods needs to be 'Methods'. Results and conclusions are fine.

Accepted suggestion.
4. Please rename the Introduction in your main text as 'Background'. This is to adhere to Submission guidelines which can be found at http://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/research-article.

Accepted suggestion.

5. Please provide a Conclusions section within your manuscript.

Accepted suggestion.

6. Thank you for providing your response to the reviewers as an additional file. This can now be removed as it is no longer needed in the editorial process.

Correct.

7. At this stage, please upload a single, clean revised version of the manuscript (including clean versions of all relevant tables/figures) that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights (please make sure the yellow highlighting as removed), strikethroughs or text in different colours.

Accepted suggestion.