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Reviewer's report:

Interesting piece of research, however, it may benefit from some suggestions:

1. Title. It may be misleading to call this survey 'national'. Despite the recruitment agency efforts, the non-response rate was high and no description of those who opted-out of the study is provided.

2. Methods. There is no mention to ethical approval for this study.

3. Methods. Some comparison of participants and non-participants on this study would be desirable (areas of residency, gender or other characteristics may be assessed)

4. Methods. Agree with previous reviewers; analysis should be weighted based on national figures (census data) for the study to be representative; the authors mentioned the age difference and performing a quick search seems like there is a gender difference as well.

5. Methods. It would be advisable to have the same number of individuals on every analysis to make them consistent.

6. Methods. An explanation of how the variables were recoded for analysis purposes must be provided.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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