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Reviewer's report:

I hope the updated manuscript was well, but some issues still had.

1. In abstract, you should write 95%CI of all estimates, not only p-value on results.

2. In abstract, extents of the exposure was not clear. you should clearly write the extents as BMI per 1 increase

3. In abstract and conclusion, "effective prevention and ...." on the conclusion was not based on your study findings. You should only write them from the findings, therefore should revise them. The strategy should be planned after you synthesize all related evidence, not only this findings.

4. In results and tables,  you should order information of the estimates as AOR X.XX, 95%CI X.XX to X.XX, p=0.000X.

5. In study limitations, discussion, L336, "This inference is also..." was not appropriate and delete it, because this section was "limitations".

6. As you write on conclusion, this study cannot analyze some risk factors as psychosocial stress. I hope this study had a limitation as secondary analysis. You should add them.

7. In conclusion, L355, the first sentence should be deleted, because this was not a study finding.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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