Reviewer’s report

Title: A comparison of nutritional intake and daily physical activity of girls aged 8-11 years old in Makkah, Saudi Arabia according to weight status

Version: 0 Date: 02 Apr 2017

Reviewer: sonam chaudhary

Reviewer's report:

The comments are written serially from top page of manuscript to afterwards:

1. Title of the manuscript: too lengthy and much more informative

2. In result of abstract: 30% were classified obese or overweight. This information was written in discussion part also. Obese and overweight has certain criteria to be classified as such. How can you use obese or overweight?

3. Keywords of abstract: is excessive and arrangement should be in alphabetical order

4. Page 5: Tacking obesity term must requires second look


6. Result: Requires uniformity in language as well as presentation of tables

   a. Between table number and table heading, there is one line spacing somewhere and no spacing in other,

   b. include total number of sample as n or N uniformly in every table heading

   c. Use variable name uniformly with its units in bracket in every table where required.

   d. P value should be mentioned in separate column
e. p<0.001 should be mentioned as highly significant; p<0.05: significant

f. interpretation of p value should include 'statistically' word before mentioning significant or highly significant.

g. If using underweight, mention as underweight or UW in table uniformly in every tables required.

h. In table 3 heading omit unnecessary information like mean and ranges. Or if you are using it, use such for all tables.

7. Exercise level is decreased with increased food intake with increase in BMI. However how total energy expenditure is increased in increased BMI.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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