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Reviewer’s report:

I only have very minor comments. I enjoyed reading this paper and find it an important contribution to the literature.

-The similarity of SV and ST can get confusing. Consider writing out sedentary time.

-I didn't catch where AAP was written out, consider keeping it written out.

-It would help to have the lowest 1/3 of deprivation defined since deprivation is a different term than is used in other countries.

-Is the number of kids at home related to anything in particular?

-Reading is non-SV, but it's still sedentary. Can you address this distinction in the discussion?

-Missing comma after e.g. Ln 312 pg 14

-What does Mid SEP mean? Ln 322 pg 14 I must have missed when you defined this acronym. Consider writing it out since there are a fair number of acronyms in this paper.

-Ln 473 pg 20 missing a period

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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