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Reviewer's report:

This was an outstanding manuscript -- both well-written and informative. I only have the following minor issues:

1) I would have liked to see some idea of the breadth and types of physical activities in the studies under review.

2) page 10, line 220 -- What are the implications of combining AD and other dementias? I see you address briefly on page 26, line 584-588, but I would like more discussion, as this is an important caveat for your review.

3) page 12, line 269 -- Does "review" refer to a review of reviews?

4) page 14, line 391+ -- What are the total # of studies that comprise the 7 and 20 systematic reviews analyzed?

5) page 15, line 334 -- Clarify that many studies had more than one outcome of interest (e.g. affect and behavior)

6) page 18, line 400 -- How often did studies include AD patients living in residential care facilities? What are the implications for dissemination and uptake of the consensus statement and resource guide for people in these environments (patients, providers, and other staff)?

7) page 26, line 592 -- define "grey literature"

Great job!
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