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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary Revisions

ABSTRACT

• Page 2, lines 4-5# Authors should clarify the sentence regarding the objective of the study (i.e. The aim of this study was to develop…)

• Page 2, line14# Authors should be consistent with numbers units, remove/add the comma according to the guidelines of the journal.

• Page 2, line 33# Remove from “and potentially in other south Asian context as well.”

• Keywords: From a methodological point of view, the authors should know that the keywords should be included in the Health Sciences Descriptors (DECs), if they were not included, the authors should change the keyword/s that they are not included in DECs.

BACKGROUND

• Well stated, to the point.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

• Regarding the design of the study a flow chart might be really helpful to understand how the authors reached to final study sample.

• Page 5, line 133 # The authors mention throughout the text that the questionnaire was self-reported, however in this line the authors mention “All participants completed an interviewer-administered quantitative physical activity questionnaire (APCAPS-PAQ; S1)”. How do you solve the possible effect of bias that the interviewer may produce in the subject interviewed?

RESULTS

• Nicely detailed.

CONCLUSION

• Fine.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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