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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

Abstract:
- Lines 55-56: Authors could delete the sentence “Almost universally…” because it is redundant and add a sentence about the reported reason of vaccine refusal

Background:
- Lines 78-86: Authors should also describe the role played by health care workers in vaccine uptake
- Lines 88-97: Authors should also describe the burden of genital warts since the quadrivalent vaccine used in the immunization campaign protect also against this type of lesions
- Lines 94-96: Authors should discuss the reasons of very low Pap-test screening uptake and highlight that the best preventive strategy include both Pap-test screening and vaccine
- Lines 112-115: Authors should clarify if the strategy has been concerted between teachers and health care workers
- Line 130: Authors should add references about the sentence “parental acceptance of HPV vaccination remains a concern in many settings”

Methods:
- Lines 143-145: Authors should clarify if the schools randomly selected were all kind of schools or only schools attended by students aged 9-12 years
- Results:
- Line 255: the “table 5” reference should be added
- Line 263: Authors should clarify if parents asked information about HPV and cervical cancer to their family physician
- Line 265: Authors could clarify if parent’s who declined to participate to the survey and who decided not to adhere to vaccine campaign had daughters who attended schools which opposed to the vaccine campaign
- Lines 287-288: Authors could address the male vaccination issue in the discussion section, since the quadrivalent vaccine used in the vaccination
campaign is indicated both in females and males

Discussion:
- Lines 301-302: Authors should add references at the end of the sentence
- Lines 308-311: Authors could discuss obtained vaccination coverage
- Lines 356-358: this observation has not been cited in the section Results

Table 2 is redundant: it contains data yet described in the text
Figures are not clearly readable

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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