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**Reviewer’s report:**

I was asked to provide a review of the revised manuscript. I only have a few minor essential revisions that the authors need to address:

Line 38: The second half of this sentence doesn't make grammatical sense.

Line 113: The figure should be numbered, and there should be some indication where it should be inserted in the text.

Lines 130-153: Is this part of the EPHE Parental Questionnaire? Giving that the formatting of sub-headings is similar, it's not clear if it is part of this questionnaire, or if it's a different questionnaire.

Lines 154-165: I found this paragraph confusing. The authors first mention one item, but then go on to describe a 5 point and 8 point likert scale (which sounds like to two items to me). It's not clear to me how this then links to the social environmental determinants that are listed. Although the authors provide a reference for the questionnaire, what they present here should still make sense to someone who hasn't read the other reference.
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