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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revision:

The study is very interesting and relevant to the field of physical activity and public health. In general the manuscript is well written and properly conducted. Thus, I only have some comments/suggestions.

In the methods sections, is not clear how was made the selection of the present sample. What criteria were used to select pupils, parents and headteachers? Moreover, please provide more detail regarding the adherence rates.

The results section is extremely detailed; however the discussion may not have the same detail/integration with the existing literature.

Finally I suggest reorganizing the conclusion section in two paragraphs: list of the most difficult challenges that a successful intervention will face and what are the steps that may be more easily implemented.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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