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Reviewer’s report:

Minor revisions:
1. The manuscript contains several typos and grammatical and punctuation errors. A thorough review is warranted prior to final publication.
2. Define “grey literature” in the paper or simple refer to unpublished work.
3. Why is Fletcher et al. [33] listed as “grey literature” when it is a peer-reviewed paper?
4. The creation of a table that summarizes the key aspects of the studies examined would be very helpful. For example, list the individual papers and provide a year of study, the type of FI, country income-level, the outcome examined, and the association.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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