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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have addressed the issues raised in the revised manuscript. Few things could be addressed further.

Major revision 1
Since authors have utilized the quantitative information only for background explanation and the study utilizes mainly the qualitative data, it could be avoided to mention this as a “mixed method study”. The authors could mention this as a qualitative study, supported with the findings from a quantitative survey.

Minor revision 1
In Method section Page 7 the following line could be removed (Line 152 could be removed.
“and further in-depth analysis is underway”

Minor revision 2
The authors could include a conceptual framework (in a diagram form) as part of their discussion, to explain the interrelated and contradicting factors of community mobilization identified in this study. This could make easy the understanding for the reader
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