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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. The predictors of low mammography screening seemed be selected by their confidence intervals (CI) and/or p-values. If so, this may not be appropriate because such large sample size would give very narrow CI and very small p-values and everything was significant. In this case, effect size of ARR may be more relevant. The authors may rank the effect sizes of predictors and discuss their relative importance.

2. Conclusion. The authors admitted the current work is limited to address causation (Lines 222 – 223) but made suggestions as if the causal relations have been established. These statements need revision, at least in their tone. Also, the authors made suggestions on multiple risk factors which may be difficult or not economical to implement simultaneously. They may want to suggest future research on (1) causation by causal modeling analysis, and (2) cost-benefit analysis of these recommendations and prioritizing them for policy-makers' decisions.

Minor Essential Revisions
Line 154. “… (See Figure 2a, b, c) …” should be Figure 1a, b, c.

Discretionary Revisions
It will help other researchers and reproducibility if the authors specify what software package (including version if applicable) and what computer equipment (e.g. memory, processor) was used to perform analysis.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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