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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   Yes

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Yes

3. Are the data sound?
   Yes

4. Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation?
   Unnecessary

5. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes

6. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   Yes

7. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   Yes

8. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   Yes

9. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   Yes

10. Is the writing acceptable?
    Yes

Specific comments are below:

1. Line 19 – Spell out ARR the first time used.
2. Line 143, etc. – Adjusted rate ratios should be presented in tables rather than in separate figures.

3. Line 146, etc. – Trend tests should be presented for variables with more than two levels.


5. Line 225 – The authors should consider deleting the RR category since the proportion of immigrants is unclear.
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