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Reviewer's report:

Overall, my previous comments were sufficiently addressed. I have 2 remaining suggestions for revision:

Major Compulsory Revisions:

1. There is a bit of inconsistency throughout the manuscript in regards to the omission of the intervention study that occurred in response to reviewer 2's second comment. I agree that the study should not be included, based on the reviewer's comments. The authors need to make sure that the reason for omitting the intervention is clear in the study selection criteria, quality assessment, and the flow diagram figure. They still read as if intervention studies were included. Additionally, any mention of the now omitted study needs to be removed from the results (e.g., p. 7, line 173). Please also ensure that the newly calculated findings are not inclusive of this omitted study.

2. Please clarify how the 912 studies that were found in the search (and not duplicates) went down to 177 studies screened by abstract in both the text and the flow diagram.
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