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Dear Editor,

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our manuscript. Enclosed please find the revised version of our manuscript, "Motives of Dutch persons aged 50 years and older to accept vaccination: a qualitative study’’, I respectfully resubmit this paper to *BMC Public Health* in the hope that I have addressed the concerns raised by the reviewer. On behalf of all the authors, I offer a point-by-point response to their comments in the following page.
Reviewer

Title: Motives of Dutch persons aged 50 years and older to accept vaccination: a qualitative study

Version: 4 Date: 11 March 2015

Reviewer: Keith Eastwood

Reviewer's report:
The authors have adequately addressed the points raised by the reviewers. It is my opinion that the manuscript is now acceptable for publication. There are still quite a few typing and grammatical errors that need to be addressed. I suggest line 469 is amended. The use of the term ‘younger people’ implies an age group outside of the study participants. It would be more accurate if changed to “However, younger people in the study, preferred to rely on the internet…”

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests

Author response
Thank you for indicating that the manuscript is acceptable for publication after our revision. The concerns that are raised regarding the quality of the written English are addressed as follows: We have sent the manuscript to an editor who made several adjustments to the manuscript to correct the typing and grammatical errors. The precise adjustments can be found in the track changes manuscript that has been enclosed with the submission. Concerning your comment about the term younger people in the study, we have changed that sentence into: ‘the younger participants preferred to rely on the internet’ to clarify that this concerns the younger people in our study. To clarify the use of older and younger participants in the manuscript even more, we have adapted the following sentence in the method section: ‘Therefore, efforts were made to perform some data analysis based on age by comparing the concepts identified in the transcripts of these focus groups, referred to as older and younger participants.’