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Dear Editor,

Enclosed please find the manuscript, Motives of elderly to accept vaccination’, I respectfully resubmit this revised paper to BMC Public Health, in the hope that I fulfilled the concerns raised by the editorial office. On behalf of all the authors, I will provide a point-to-point response.

1. Ethics
We have added the following section in the manuscript to comply to the editorial policies:
‘Ethic approval was not required for this study. All participants were above 18 years and the study method consisted of talking in focus groups with no intervention. Persons could choose to participate by returning an application form where they disclosed their own personal information and gave their informed consent.’

2. RATS guidelines
In order to comply to the RATS guidelines, we have specifically mentioned the research question in the introduction. We feel that we have met all the other criteria.