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**Reviewer’s report:**

I am satisfied that the authors have responded adequately to all of my comments, and that the manuscript is acceptable for publication.

**Minor discretionary revisions**

1. Pg 11, line 213: I am not sure how the authors can conclude that the distribution of obesity is different to the distribution of diabetes. When comparing Figure 1 and Figure 2, both look like there is a clustering of high prevalence in the SE corner of the map.

2. Table 1: I think that % should be included somewhere in the table itself, and not just in the text below the table.

3. Do you mean a two-tailed t-test? I am not familiar with a two-sided t test.

4. The relevance of the results from Table 2 are very difficult to understand.

5. Be consistent with terminology ie. grocery stores vs grocery markets
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