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Reviewer's report:

MINOR / DISCRETIONARY

All comments have been responded to adequately.

1. Re: Reviewer 2 Comment 5. Perhaps further explanation is needed? What I was interested in knowing is which (PA or sitting time) is a stronger predictor. This can be distinguished by mutually adjusting and seeing if both or just one is still significant. I am unsure why the authors have “not been able to make what the reviewer suggests”.

2. <Note> For future reference, please be aware that it is helpful to reviewers if the authors state what is new during their reviewer response. For example, for Reviewer 2 Comment 8 instead of stating that “the main outcomes have been reported” (which indicates that the reviewer missed this text in the first manuscript) please write something like “this has now been added to the manuscript”. I have spent a lot of time double checking what was included in the original manuscript vs. this draft. It was helpful that the line numbers were included in the responses.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests