Reviewer's report

Title: The validity of self-reported cancer screening history and the role of social disadvantage in Ontario, Canada

Version: 3 Date: 27 December 2014

Reviewer: Thomas Perneger

Reviewer's report:

The authors have done a good job in responding to the reviewers' comments. I have no remaining substantive concerns.

Regarding form: FOBT should be defined in the abstract.

I still do not understand how tables that are presented and discussed in the paper can be "additional material". They are fully part of the paper, not something the reader may want to look at, or not.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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