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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
NONE

Minor Essential Revisions
Line 158 - a closed quotation mark needed
Line 171 - please explain the acronym n.d.a.
Line 196 - a definition of underweight should be included
Line 299 - capital S needs changing
Table 2 - the BMI categories are wrong

Discretionary Revisions
Line 241 - this paragraph could be restructured. It starts by saying low job strain was not different between sexes but later it says that high job strain is different - it's better to present these two results together I think.
Line 228 - i think the reader needs to understand exactly why you are doing separate analysis for men and women
Line 315-6 - a previously unmentioned result should not be introduced in the Discussion section (in my view)
Line 340 and 347 - i don't understand the "solely office workers" explanation. Just because they are all office workers does not mean they are more homogenous.
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