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BPSY-D-19-00395R1

Mental health and psychosocial support services in primary health care in Nepal: Perceived facilitating factors, barriers and strategies for improvement
Nawaraj Upadhaya, MA, MSc; Upasana Regmi, MA; Dristy Gurung, MSc; Nagendra P. Luitel, MA; Inge Petersen, PhD; Mark J.D. Jordans, PhD; Ivan H. Komproe, PhD
BMC Psychiatry

Dear Mr Upadhaya,

Your manuscript "Mental health and psychosocial support services in primary health care in Nepal: Perceived facilitating factors, barriers and strategies for improvement" (BPSY-D-19-00395R1) has been assessed by our reviewers. Based on these reports, and my own assessment as Editor, I am pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Psychiatry, once you have carried out some essential revisions.

1. In your Ethics approval section, please clarify whether consent obtained from participants was written or verbal. If consent was obtained verbally please include reasoning for this and state if an IRB approved this method of obtaining consent.
   Response: Following text has been added in ethics approval section.
   “A written informed consent was obtained from the participants”.

2. In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
Response: The below text has been added in the funding section.
“The funding body had no role in the design of the study, data collection and analysis, interpretation of the data and preparation of the manuscript”.

3. In the Competing interests section, please state that Ivan Komproe is an associate editor for the BMC Series.
Response: Prof. Ivan Komproe told me that he withdrew his associate editor role last year and he is no more involved in editorial work for BMC series. Hence, competing interest section remained unchanged.

4. While assessing your manuscript it was noticed that Table 2 was not found to be mentioned in the main text. Please ensure that all tables and figures are referenced so as to provide context for the reader and also as an indication for where our production team is to insert them.
Response: Table 2 has now been mentioned in the main manuscript text. There are only two tables in this manuscript and both of them have now been mentioned in the manuscript text.

5. Please remove the response to reviewers.
Response: Response to reviewers has been removed.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Response: A clean version of the manuscript has been uploaded.

Please also take a moment to check our website at https://www.editorialmanager.com/bpsy/ for any additional comments that were saved as attachments. Please note that as BMC Psychiatry has a policy of open peer review, you will be able to see the names of the reviewers.

Once you have made the necessary corrections, please submit a revised manuscript online at:

https://www.editorialmanager.com/bpsy/

If you have forgotten your password, please use the 'Send Login Details' link on the login page at https://www.editorialmanager.com/bpsy/. For security reasons, your password will be reset.

A point-by-point response letter must accompany your revised manuscript. This letter must provide a detailed response to each reviewer/editorial point raised, describing exactly what amendments have been made to the manuscript text and where these can be viewed (e.g. Methods section, line 12, page 5). If you disagree with any comments raised, please provide a detailed rebuttal to help explain and justify your decision.
At this stage, we ask that you submit a clean version of your manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting.

Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style, which can be found at the Submission Guidelines on the journal homepage.
Please note, if your manuscript is accepted you will not be able to make any changes to the authors, or order of authors, of your manuscript once the editor has accepted your manuscript for publication. If you wish to make any changes to authorship before you resubmit your revisions, please reply to this email and ask for a 'Request for change in authorship' form which should be completed by all authors (including those to be removed) and returned to this email address. Please ensure that any changes in authorship fulfil the criteria for authorship as outlined in BioMed Central's editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#authorship).

Once you have completed and returned the form, your request will be considered and you will be advised whether the requested changes will be allowed.  
By resubmitting your manuscript you confirm that all author details on the revised version are correct, that all authors have agreed to authorship and order of authorship for this manuscript and that all authors have the appropriate permissions and rights to the reported data.

Please be aware that we may investigate, or ask your institute to investigate, any unauthorised attempts to change authorship or discrepancies in authorship between the submitted and revised versions of your manuscript.

A decision will be made once we have received your revised manuscript, which we expect by 14 Jan 2020.

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Best wishes,

Maire Clayton
BMC Psychiatry
https://bmcpsychiatry.biomedcentral.com/

BMC Psychiatry operates a policy of open peer review, which means that you will be able to see the names of the reviewers who provided the reports via the online peer review system. We encourage you to also view the reports there, via the action links on the left-hand side of the page, to see the names of the reviewers.

Reviewer reports:
Reviewer 2 (Reviewer 2): PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

OBJECTIVE - Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses one or several testable research questions? (Brief or other article types: is there a clear objective?)
Yes - there is a clear objective
Response: Thank you.

DESIGN - Is the current approach (including controls and analysis protocols) appropriate for the objective?
Yes - the approach is appropriate
EXECUTION - Are the experiments and analyses performed with sufficient technical rigor to allow confidence in the results?
Yes - experiments and analyses were performed appropriately
Response: Thank you.

STATISTICS - Is the use of statistics in the manuscript appropriate?
N/A - there are no statistics in this study

INTERPRETATION - Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated?
Yes - the author's interpretation is reasonable

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? If not, can further revisions be made to make the work technically sound?
Yes - current version is technically sound
Response: Thank you so much for your valuable comments in the earlier version of the manuscript.

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: The authors have adequately addressed my concerns. The authors outlined the changes they made to address each concern in a detailed letter. The authors also clearly highlighted the changes in the manuscript. Specifically, the authors outlined the research questions and aims that guided the study, provided more explanation of their methods in relation to data collection, sampling, analysis and how they established and maintained rigor, and revised their results section and discussion to be more parsimonious, clear, and compelling. Overall, the authors adequately addressed the concerns in the manuscript in a serious, professional and effective way. My overall impression of the manuscript is that it is much improved, technically sound and makes a contribution to the literature.
Response: We are thankful to reviewer’s insightful and constructive comments in the earlier version of the manuscript which helped us learn and improve the quality of the manuscript.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:
The work appears to be technically sound. The authors have adequately addressed my concerns. I have no further revisions.
ADDITONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS:
Not at this time.

Response: Thank you.

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish) and our Writing resources (http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources). These cover common mistakes that
occur when writing in English.

----------------------

Editorial Policies
----------------------

Please read the following information and revise your manuscript as necessary. If your manuscript does not adhere to our editorial requirements this will cause a delay whilst the issue is addressed. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies and formatting guidelines, all submissions to BMC Psychiatry must have a Declarations section which includes the mandatory sub-sections listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines web page for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (https://bmcpsychiatry.biomedcentral.com/).

Where a mandatory section is not relevant to your study design or article type, for example, if your manuscript does not contain any individual persons data, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.

For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must state that data will not be shared, and provide reasons for this in the manuscript text. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page - http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials.
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Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/bpsy/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.