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Reviewer's report:

A well-performed study of long-term psychiatric outcomes among adolescents experiencing anxiety. Please find my minor comments below.

General:

Use of "negative outcomes". The concept is very wide and unspecific which feels unnecessary when having ICD-10 diagnoses as an outcome. Please consider changing "negative outcomes" into a more specific "psychiatric diagnoses and suicide attempts", or similar.

Results

Table 2. Have authors considered to also adjust for familial factors? At least for category "Any negative outcome" the number of observations seems to be sufficient (the results could be mentioned briefly in Discussion). If not, lack of adjustment for familial factors due to low numbers should be mentioned in Limitations.

Discussion

An important and positive finding is that the majority of the participants had no negative outcomes despite the severity of anxiety. Please discuss this briefly. Does it suggest that e.g. treatment etc works? Or are there any other outcomes (not included in this study) that might be predicted by adolescent anxiety?
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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