Reviewer’s report

Title: CASE REPORT: A GIANT ARACHNOID CYST MASKING ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE

Version: 1 Date: 10 Dec 2018

Reviewer: Jacqueline Mogle

Reviewer's report:

Overall this was a well-written manuscript that adds to an important literature on how other abnormalities such as arachnoid cysts may contribute to cognitive impairment. Two alterations would make this a stronger manuscript:

1. Please add a purpose statement to the introduction. What is the current case study intended to show? How does the review of existing case literature fit in with this purpose? It becomes clear as you read the paper but a strong purpose statement at the end of the introduction would guide the reader as they move on to the technical aspects of the paper.

2. I would advise moving the review of existing case literature into the results section. Where it is in the discussion, this valuable work gets lost in the paper. It would be important to have the case study first, and then present the review of the case literature next, and finally discuss the overlap of the two in the discussion.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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