Reviewer's report

Title: An Asian Study on Clinical and Psychological Factors Associated with Personal Recovery in People with Psychosis

Version: 0 Date: 01 Jan 2019

Reviewer: Akiko Kanehara

Reviewer's report:

The authors evaluate the psychometric properties of the QPR-15 in an Asian socio-cultural setting and its associations with clinical and psychological factors. The findings revealed the higher predictive value and associations of psychological factors, compared to clinical factors, and importance the distinction of personal recovery from clinical recovery. Generally, it is a well-written manuscript, but I have some concerns and suggestions as follows:

1) The authors had not mentioned whether the items of QPR are subjectively relevant to people who live in Singapore. I wonder the authors ask the patients what they think about the items.

2) Both clinical recovery and personal recovery are important in the recovery of people with psychosis. Some studies have indicated that clinical recovery and personal recovery are related. The author should also explain the hypothesis about the relationship between clinical recovery and personal recovery in patients with psychosis in the section of Introduction.

3) I think that it was also possible to perform an exploratory factor analysis by conducting a survey using 22 items version of QPR and then develop a unique short version of Singapore. Why did not the authors do that?
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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