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Dear BMC Psychiatry Reviewers,

Fourth Resubmission of BPSY-D-19-00777

To all reviewers, thank you for reviewing our third revised manuscript. We have revised the manuscript further as according to the technical comments and reviewer 3- Dr. Eklund’s comments; please see below for our point-by-point replies.

Technical comments:

1. Keywords - Please add a Key Words section after the abstract containing three to ten keywords representing the main content of the article.

We have added the Key Words section after the abstract on p3 (line 8-9).
2. Authors contribution - Please provide the contribution of all authors.

We have elaborated more on the contribution of all authors. Please see p25 (line 25-28) -26 (line 1-4).

3. Declaration section - Please re arrange the Declaration section, it should be:

- Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

- Consent for publication

- Availability of supporting data

- Competing interests

- Funding

- Authors' contributions

- Acknowledgements

- Authors' information

We have re-arranged this section accordingly. Please see p25-26.

Editor Comments:

Please incorporate or refine the reviewer's suggestion.
We have incorporated the reviewer’s suggestion as stated below.

Reviewer reports:

Mona Eklund, PhD (Reviewer 3): Congratulations, I think the authors have done a good job with revising the manuscript. The sentence regarding qol still does not flow very well with the rest, however. Please consider if you think this wording may be clearer:

Nonetheless, Leamy and colleagues [23] reported that 65% of the studies they reviewed had endorsed quality of life as important to the recovery process, categorised under the domain of meaning in life (66% of studies endorsed meaning in life itself). The fact that the QPR-15 showed a moderate correlation with the total WHOQOL-BREF (quality of life) (rs= 0.669) in the current study thus supports its convergent validity with the CHIME domain of meaning in life.

We fully agree with your suggestion and have incorporated it, please see p20, line 3-7.