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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Mr. Hickey,

Thank you very much for your e-mail. I have just addressed the points you mentioned and submitted a new version of the manuscript.
"1. We note that the date of trial registration appears as October 13, 2017 on Clinicaltrials.gov. However, the trial date has been listed as February 2018 in the corresponding section of your Abstract. Please clarify which is the actual date which denotes the point of registration for your trial. Further, please clarify if the date of registration is after the date that the first participant was recruited. If so then please also state ‘retrospectively registered’ in this section."

As you noticed, the trial registration was first posted October 13th, 2017. This was before the first participant was enrolled, so the label "retrospectively registered" is not applicable. (See Abstract, line 56)

"2. Thank you for including a Funding heading for the Declarations section. Please also state the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. Please note that the “role” of any given funding body specifies any function beyond the direct funding of aspects of the research or presentation of the manuscript."

The funding body peer-reviewed the protocol and supervises how the sponsor spends the money. It does not participate in any other aspect of the research. (See Declarations, lines 656-657)

"3. Please note that the Consent for publication statement refers to consent obtained to include any individual person’s data in any form (including individual details, images or videos). Therefore, please state "Not applicable" in this section as no personal information is provided in your manuscript."

I changed this. Thank you very much. (See Declarations, line 642)

"4. When submitting your revised manuscript please ensure you do so as a single clean copy without any tracked changes, colored or highlighted text, as these are no longer required at this stage of the editorial process."

Thank you very much for your comments and for your consideration during the editorial assessment process. Let me know if there is any other issue to be clarified.

Please note that other authors are with the IdiPAZ as well. I added this affiliation in the Title Page.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best