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Author’s response to reviews:

We appreciate the attention you have given our work. We have addressed the editors comments point-by-point below:

Comment 1.

Consent for Publication:

a. As anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis can be associated with cognitive deficits, please provide further details of how decision-making capacity of the patient was assessed. Specifically, please state the criteria that determined whether consent could be obtained directly from the patient or from a family member or other legal guardian, and confirm that that these criteria were approved by the ethics committee. Please add this information to the Ethics and consent to participate section.

b. Please confirm the type of consent taken (e.g. written or verbal).

Answer: This case report was done as a part of an ongoing first episode psychosis study. We have clarified this, the type of consent taken, as well as the steps taken to ensure adequate capacity, in the manuscript on lines 293, 296-300 and 302-305.
Comment 2.

Funding:

In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Answer: A detailed description of the roles each funding body played can be found in the manuscript on lines 311-317.

Comment 3.

Headings:

Please provide one 'Discussion and Conclusions' heading.

Answer: The ‘Discussion’ heading was edited to ‘Discussion and Conclusions’ on line 241 of the manuscript.