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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Please provide a 'Declarations' heading for your manuscript after the conclusions section.
   -done

2. Please note that in the case of adults with schizophrenia, members of a study may not be capable of providing ethical consent for their participation. In instances such as this we would expect a legal guardian or representative to provide consent to participate in their stead. If this was the case then please add a statement to the Ethics approval and consent to participate subheading to reflect that the guardians of these participants provided consent on their behalf.
   -We now stated that "All participants gave their written, informed consent before enrolment in the study or the guardians of these participants provided consent on their behalf."

3. Thank you for including a Funding heading for the Declarations section. Please also state the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. Please note that the role of the funding body specifies any function beyond the direct funding of aspects of the research or presentation of the manuscript.
Now it is "This study was supported by the grant of the State Research Project “Fundamental science for Medicine”. The funding body had the role in the collection and analysis of results."

sincerely,

Napoleon Waszkiewicz