Author’s response to reviews

Title: Feasibility of a randomised controlled trial of remotely delivered problem-solving cognitive behaviour therapy versus usual care for young people with depression and repeat self-harm: lessons learnt (e-DASH)

Authors:

Kapil Sayal (kapil.sayal@nottingham.ac.uk)
James Roe (James.Roe@nottingham.ac.uk)
Harriet Ball (harrietball4@outlook.com)
Christopher Atha (christopher.atha@nottingham.ac.uk)
Catherine Kaylor-Hughes (Catherine.Kaylor-Hughes@nottingham.ac.uk)
Boliang Guo (Boliang.Guo@nottingham.ac.uk)
Ellen Townsend (Ellen.Townsend@nottingham.ac.uk)
Richard Morriss (Richard.Morriss@nottingham.ac.uk)

Version: 2 Date: 12 Dec 2018

Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr. Mantere & the BMC Psychiatry Editorial Office,

Thank you for your very timely response and confirmation that our manuscript is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Psychiatry.

The reviewer only requested a single amendment - a modification of the paper's title. Our modified title, which we agree better captures the presented study, is "Feasibility of a randomised controlled trial of remotely delivered problem-solving cognitive behaviour therapy versus usual care for young people with depression and repeat self-harm: lessons learnt (e-DASH).

We hope that this is acceptable and look forward to hearing back from you with confirmation of the paper's acceptance.
Sincerely

Professor Kapil Sayal
Professor of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry,
Division of Psychiatry and Applied Psychology,
School of Medicine,
University of Nottingham, UK