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Comments

I am just a little interested in this case. But I think if this article wants to be published, it needs further revision.

The comments is just as follow:

Background

1. Please provide some references about the impact of clozapine on metabolism.

2. Please describe what is unique about this case directly?

Case presentation

1. Please provide the De-identified demographic information about the patient (such as family history)

2. Please provide more clinical materials of this case (such as PE, laboratory testing, image)

3. Please describe the diagnose criteria of schizophrenia, myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, hyperlipidaemia.

4. In case presentation, Line 52: "Accepting oral medication, his mental state made a rapid and dramatic improvement." It would make me misunderstand, can you provide any specific objective evaluation to measure the improvement of the condition before and after intervention?

5. Please describe the dose of clozapine one day, it is better for you to describe the whole administration of intervention (such as dosage, strength, duration)
Discussion

1. I think the discussion is too short, please provide more information.

2. Please discuss the strengths and limitations in your approach in your case.

3. Please discuss the relevant medical literature of your case.

4. Please discuss the rationale for your conclusions (including assessment of possible cause)

5. It is important to describe the "take-away" lessons of this case report for the reader.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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