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Reviewer's report:

The authors successfully responded to a large proportion of the suggested edits for this submission, and the revised manuscript has been strengthened as a result. There remain a few issues, however, that were not adequately addressed. These issues are outlined below.

(1) Minimal changes were made within the background section. The authors reported that they expanded this section with a few lines, but the rationale for assessing this population remains unclear. Although they briefly noted that no prior research has been conducted with this population, discussion of the unique factors that are associated with the sample (e.g., socio-cultural factors) is also needed. This point is particularly important given the high rate of positive depression screens reported in their results, as well as the points made in the discussion about the relevance of these factors.

(2) Most methodological concerns were addressed. However, the authors did not include any information regarding the psychometric properties of their instruments or a sample item of their primary measure. Additionally, with regard to the sample size calculation, it is still unclear if 10-20% of women are within the country or the world. The authors also state in the introduction that no studies have examined prevalence of depression among this population, but then cite a study in this section regarding the prevalence rate. This inconsistency should be addressed.

(3) Most concerns that had been identified with the discussion were addressed. The manuscript still lacks an explanation as to why this highly educated, socially supported sample has such high depression screen rates compared to rates reported in other studies. The authors made a good point about the socio-cultural issues, but this should be further explained or compared with other literature especially given the socio-demographics of this sample. Clinical implications were briefly discussed in the conclusion, but given the relevance of this study for clinical settings, these should be explained more thoroughly.
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**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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