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Reviewer’s report:

This is a well-researched article on an important topic. I have only minor comments to add.

You describe the population under study as one of Australia's most CALD populations, but it seems it would be more accurate to say it INCLUDES a high proportion of CALD women. It must include some non-CALD women for you to have assessed the effect of being from a CALD group. I would re-word or make this clearer - especially in the abstract where it sounds like the focus of the paper is solely on CALD women.

In addition to the overall prevalence, it would have been interesting to include the prevalence of across different groups - mainly among CALD vs. non-CALD (rather than just providing OR of being from CALD background).

Some minor grammatical errors need addressing.

Other than this I have no further suggestions.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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